# Math 131A Notes

## Brendan Connelly

### September to December 2024

**Definition** (Algebraic Number). A complex number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an *algebraic number* if there exists a non-zero polynomial with integer coefficients

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a_n \neq 0,$$

such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . In other words,  $\alpha$  is a root of a polynomial with integer coefficients.

The set of all algebraic numbers is denoted by  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  or A.

**Theorem** (Rational Zeros Theorem). Suppose  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n$  are integers and r is a rational number satisfying the polynomial equation

$$c_n x^n + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + c_1 x + c_0 = 0,$$

where  $n \ge 1$ ,  $c_n \ne 0$ , and  $c_0 \ne 0$ . Let  $r = \frac{c}{d}$  where c, d are integers having no common factors and  $d \ne 0$ . Then c divides  $c_0$  and d divides  $c_n$ .

In other words, the only rational candidates for solutions of the polynomial equation have the form  $\frac{c}{d}$ , where c divides  $c_0$  and d divides  $c_n$ .

**Definition** (Radicals are not in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). *Example 3:*  $\sqrt{17}$  is not a rational number.

*Proof:* The only possible rational solutions of the equation

$$x^2 - 17 = 0$$

are  $\pm 1, \pm 17$ . None of these numbers are solutions, and thus  $\sqrt{17}$  is not a rational number.

**Definition** (Order on  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  also has an order structure  $\leq$  satisfying the following properties:

- O1. Given a and b, either  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ .
- O2. If  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$ , then a = b.
- O3. If  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq c$ , then  $a \leq c$ .
- O4. If  $a \leq b$ , then  $a + c \leq b + c$ .
- O5. If  $a \leq b$  and  $0 \leq c$ , then  $ac \leq bc$ .

**Definition** (Consequences of the Field Properties). The following are consequences of the field properties for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- (i) a + c = b + c implies a = b.
- (ii)  $a \cdot 0 = 0$  for all a.

- (iii) (-a)b = -ab for all a, b.
- (iv) (-a)(-b) = ab for all a, b.
- (v) ac = bc and  $c \neq 0$  imply a = b.
- (vi) ab = 0 implies either a = 0 or b = 0.

**Definition** (Consequences of the Properties of an Ordered Field). The following are consequences of the properties of an ordered field for  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- (i) If  $a \leq b$ , then  $-b \leq -a$ .
- (ii) If  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq 0$ , then  $bc \leq ac$ .
- (iii) If  $0 \le a$  and  $0 \le b$ , then  $0 \le ab$ .
- (iv)  $0 \le a^2$  for all a.
- (v) 0 < 1.
- (vi) If 0 < a, then  $0 < a^{-1}$ .
- (vii) If 0 < a < b, then  $0 < b^{-1} < a^{-1}$ .

Note: a < b means  $a \leq b$  and  $a \neq b$ .

**Theorem** (Triangle Inequality and Misc). The following properties hold for the absolute value function for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- (i)  $|a| \ge 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii)  $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii)  $|a+b| \le |a|+|b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  (Triangle Inequality).

**Corollary** (Consequence of the Triangle Inequality). The following property holds for the absolute value function for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$$

# 1 Completeness

**Definition** (Bounded Definitions). Let  $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1. We say that A is bounded above if there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \leq M$  for all  $a \in A$ . In this case, M is called an *upper bound* for A. If moreover  $M \in A$ , then M is called the *maximum* of A.
- 2. We say that A is bounded below if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m \leq a$  for all  $a \in A$ . In this case, m is called a *lower bound* for A. If moreover  $m \in A$ , then m is called the *minimum* of A.
- 3. We say that A is *bounded* if it is both bounded below and bounded above.

**Definition** (Supremum and Infimum). Let  $\emptyset eqA \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1. Let A be bounded above. We say L is a *least upper bound* for A if:
  - (a) L is an upper bound for A.
  - (b) If M is an upper bound for A, then  $L \leq M$ .

This L is also called the *supremum* of A and we write  $L = \sup A$ .

- 2. Let A be bounded below. We say  $\ell$  is a greatest lower bound for A if:
  - (a)  $\ell$  is a lower bound for A.
  - (b) If m is a lower bound for A, then  $m \leq \ell$ .

This  $\ell$  is also called the *infimum* of A and we write  $\ell = \inf A$ .

**Definition** (Least Upper Bound and Greatest Lower Bound Properties). Let  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

- 1. We say S has the *least upper bound property* if for every nonempty subset A of S which is also bounded above, A has a least upper bound in S.
- 2. We say S has the greatest lower bound property if for every nonempty subset A of S which is also bounded below, A has a greatest lower bound in S.

**Theorem** (Axiom of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). The set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  has the least upper bound property. In fact, it is the unique ordered field with the least upper bound property. As a corollary, the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  has the greatest lower bound property.

**Property** (Archimedean Property of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that x < n. This n depends on x.

*Proof.* Proof by contradiction. Suppose not, then there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \ge n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above. By the least upper bound property of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\sup \mathbb{N} = L$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then L-1 is not an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ , so there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that m > L-1. But then  $m+1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and m+1 > L, contradicting  $L = \sup \mathbb{N}$ .

**Corollary** (AP Corollary). If a > 0, b > 0, then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that na > b.

**Corollary** (AP Corollary). For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n \leq a < n + 1$ .

*Proof.* If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , take n = a.

For a > 0 and  $a \notin \mathbb{N}$ , define  $S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n < a\} \ni 0$ . We claim that there is an  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m \in S$  but  $m + 1 \notin S$ . If not,  $m \in S$  implies  $m + 1 \in S$ , and we have  $0 \in S$ , thus by induction  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \subseteq S$ . This implies  $\mathbb{N}$  is bounded above as S is, which is a contradiction. Take n = m.

For non-integer a < 0, we have -a > 0. Then there is  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\ell < -a < \ell + 1$ , and so  $-\ell - 1 < a \leq -\ell$ . Take  $n = -\ell - 1$ .

**Corollary** (AP flipped). For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ .

**Definition** (Density in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). Let set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be called *dense in*  $\mathbb{R}$  if for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with x < y, there exists an  $a \in A$  such that x < a < y.

**Theorem** (Rationals Dense in Reals). The set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with x < y. Then there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < y - x$ . There exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m - 1 \leq nx < m$ . Then

and so

$$\frac{m-1}{n} \le x < \frac{m}{n}$$
$$< \frac{m}{n} \le x + \frac{1}{n} < y,$$

noting that  $\frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Corollary** (Irrationals Dense in Reals). The set of irrational numbers  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

x

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with x < y. Then  $x\sqrt{2} < y\sqrt{2}$ . By the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x\sqrt{2} < r < y\sqrt{2}$ , which implies  $x < \sqrt{2}r < y$ . Note that  $\sqrt{2}r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition** (Extension to Infinity). The symbols  $+\infty$ ,  $-\infty$ . We adjoin these symbols with  $\mathbb{R}$  so that  $-\infty < a < +\infty$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\emptyset eq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is not bounded above, we set  $\sup A = +\infty$ . Similarly, if  $\emptyset eq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is not bounded below, we set  $A = -\infty$ .

**Definition** (Sequences of Real Numbers). A sequence of real numbers is a function  $f : \mathbb{N}o\mathbb{R}$ . We can represent this function f as

 $f(1), f(2), \ldots$ 

or  $(f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , or more commonly  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , or simply  $(f_n)$ . We can also use curly braces, such as  $\{f_n\}$ , to denote the sequence.

Examples:

- 1.  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$
- 2.  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_n = (-1)^n$
- 3.  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_n = n^2$
- 4.  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_n = \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)$

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Limits and Convergence

**Definition** (Convergence of a Sequence). A sequence  $(a_n)$  of real numbers *converges* if there exists  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_{\epsilon}$ .

In this case, a is called the *limit* of the sequence, and we write

$$a = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$$

or  $a_n \to a$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We say  $(a_n)$  converges to a. If no such limit a exists, i.e., if the sequence does not converge, then we say the sequence *diverges*.

**Theorem** (Uniqueness of Limit). The limit of a sequence is unique.

*Proof.* Assume  $(a_n)$  converges and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = b$ . We want to show a = b.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \ge n_1$  and  $|a_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \ge n_2$ . Then for  $n \ge \max(n_1, n_2)$ , we have  $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $|a_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Therefore, with such n, we have

$$|a-b| \le |a-a_n| + |a_n-b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude a = b.

**Example** (Limit Examples). **Example 1** Show that  $(a_n)$  with  $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$  converges to zero.

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , we need to find  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n - 0| = a_n < \epsilon$  for all  $n \ge n_{\epsilon}$ . By the Archimedean property of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n_{\epsilon} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . Then for  $n \ge n_{\epsilon}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{n_{\epsilon}} < \epsilon.$$

**Example 2** Show that  $(a_n)$  with  $a_n = (-1)^n$  diverges.

**Proof.** By contradiction. Suppose  $a_n \to a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $|a_n - a| < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n \ge m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For even  $n \ge m$ , we have  $|1-a| < \frac{1}{2}$ , and for odd  $n \ge m$ , we have  $|-1-a| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then

$$2 = 1 + a + 1 - a \le |1 + a| + |1 - a| < 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Example 3** Show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{3n+1}{5n-2} = \frac{3}{5}$ . **Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . It is enough to show there exists  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge n_{\epsilon}$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{3n+1}{5n-2} - \frac{3}{5}\right| < \epsilon,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{11}{5(5n-2)} < \epsilon.$$

Note that

$$\frac{11}{5\epsilon} < 5n-2 \iff n > \frac{2}{5} + \frac{11}{25\epsilon}$$

So choose  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

$$n_{\epsilon} > \frac{2}{5} + \frac{11}{25\epsilon}.$$

11

Then for all  $n \geq n_{\epsilon}$ , we have

 $n > \frac{2}{5} + \frac{11}{25\epsilon},$ 

which implies

$$\epsilon > \frac{11}{5(5n-2)} = \frac{11}{5(5n-2)}.$$

**Theorem** (Convergent Sequences are Bounded). Convergent sequences are bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $(a_n)$  be a convergent sequence converging to  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n - a| < 1$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Thus  $|a_n| \le |a_n - a| + |a| < 1 + |a|$  for all  $n \ge N$ .

Let  $M = \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{N-1}|, 1+|a|\}$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}, |a_n| \leq M$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem** (Limit Properties). Let  $(a_n), (b_n)$  be two convergent sequences with limits  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then:

- 1. For  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ka_n = ka$ .
- 2.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n) = a + b.$
- 3.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n b_n = ab.$
- 4. If  $a_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} = \frac{1}{a}$ .
- 5. If  $a_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = \frac{b}{a}$ .

Proof.

- 1. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n a| < \frac{\epsilon}{k}$ , which implies  $|ka_n ka| < \epsilon$ .
- 2. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and  $|b_n b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then for  $n \ge n_{\epsilon} = \max(n_1, n_2)$ , we have  $|(a_n + b_n) (a + b)| < \epsilon$ .
- 3. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We want to find  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n b_n ab| < \epsilon$ . Let M be such that  $|a_n| \le M$  for all n. Let  $n_1, n_2$  be such that  $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2(|b|+1)}$  for all  $n \ge n_1$  and  $|b_n - b| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$ . Then

$$|a_n b_n - ab| \le |a_n| |b_n - b| + |b| |a_n - a| < M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2M} + |b| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(|b| + 1)} < \epsilon$$

4. Claim:  $\inf\{|a_n|: n \in \mathbb{N}\} = m > 0$ . Indeed, there is  $n_1$  such that for all  $n \ge n_1$ , one has  $|a_n - a| < \frac{|a|}{2}$ , which implies  $|a_n| \ge |a| - |a_n - a| \ge \frac{|a|}{2}$ . So  $m = \inf_n |a_n| \ge \inf_n \{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{n_1}|, \frac{|a|}{2}\} > 0$ . Now choose  $n_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n - a| < \epsilon |a|m$ . Then

$$\left|\frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{1}{a}\right| = \frac{|a_n - a|}{|a_n||a|} < \epsilon.$$

5. Combine (3) and (4).

**Definition** (Extension of Limits to Infinity). For a sequence  $(s_n)$ , we write  $\lim s_n = +\infty$  provided that for each M > 0, there is a number N such that n > N implies  $s_n > M$ .

In this case, we say the sequence diverges to  $+\infty$ .

Similarly, we write  $\lim s_n = -\infty$  provided that for each M < 0, there is a number N such that n > N implies  $s_n < M$ .

**Example** (Divergence to Infinity). We need to consider an arbitrary M > 0 and show there exists N (which will depend on M) such that n > N implies  $\sqrt{n} + 7 > M$ .

To see how big N must be, we "solve" for n in the inequality  $\sqrt{n}+7 > M$ . This inequality holds provided  $\sqrt{n} > M - 7$  or  $n > (M - 7)^2$ . Thus, we will take  $N = (M - 7)^2$ .

Let M > 0 and let  $N = (M - 7)^2$ . Then n > N implies  $n > (M - 7)^2$ , hence  $\sqrt{n} > M - 7$ , hence  $\sqrt{n} + 7 > M$ . This shows  $\lim(\sqrt{n} + 7) = +\infty$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $(s_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  be sequences such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n > 0$$

(where  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n$  can be finite or  $+\infty$ ). Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n t_n = +\infty.$$

*Proof.* Let M > 0 be given. Choose a real number m such that

$$0 < m < \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n.$$

Such an *m* exists because  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n > 0$ .

There are two cases to consider:

1. Case 1  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n$  is finite.

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n > m$ , there exists an integer  $N_1$  such that for all  $n > N_1$ ,

 $t_n > m$ .

2. Case 2:  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = +\infty$ .

In this scenario,  $t_n > m$  holds for all sufficiently large n, so we can similarly find an integer  $N_1$  such that for all  $n > N_1$ ,

$$t_n > m$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = +\infty$ , there exists an integer  $N_2$  such that for all  $n > N_2$ ,

$$s_n > \frac{M}{m}$$

Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then, for all n > N,

$$s_n t_n > \frac{M}{m} \cdot m = M.$$

Since M was arbitrary, it follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n t_n = +\infty$ .